Formability assessment of a cup drawing under complex nonlinear strain paths
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Nowadays, sheet metal forming processes are designed and optimized virtually.

The use of Finite Element Analysis allows
- Decrease in time to market life cycle;
- Notable savings in terms of money, time and effort in the design, production and set-up of new formed parts.
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Sheet metals generally exhibit anisotropy of their mechanical properties.

The rolling process induces a particular anisotropy characterized by the symmetry of the mechanical properties with respect to the three orthogonal planes, i.e. orthotropy.

As this process makes the metal sheets orthotropic, different mechanical behaviors are expected for different loading directions and conditions.
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Plastic response in metals

Crystal plasticity models

- More precise
- More complex
- Numerically expensive

Phenomenological models

- Less precise
- Less complex
- Numerically efficient
Plastic response in metals

Phenomenological models

- Yield surface
- Flow rule
- Hardening law

The anisotropy parameters must be identified such that the yield criterion reproduces the material’s mechanical behaviour as close as possible.
Numerical prediction of failure of a can – Benchmark 1, Numisheet 2016

- Verify the capability to predict variations of the yield stresses and $r-$values in the plane of the sheet metal;
- Failure timing and location;
- Earing and thickness profiles after reverse redraw.
Material mechanical behavior – AA5352

Cazacu & Barlat 2001 yield criterion (CB2001)

\[
(J_2^0)^3 - c (J_3^0)^2 = 27 \left(\frac{Y}{3}\right)^6
\]

\[
J_2^0 = \frac{a_1}{6} (\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22})^2 + \frac{a_2}{6} (\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{33})^2 + \frac{a_3}{6} (\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{33})^2
\]

\[
+ a_4 \sigma_{12}^2 + a_5 \sigma_{13}^2 + a_6 \sigma_{23}^2
\]

\[
J_3^0 = (1/27) (b_1 + b_2) \sigma_{11}^3 + (1/27) (b_3 + b_4) \sigma_{22}^3
\]

\[
+ (1/27) [2 (b_1 + b_4) - b_2 - b_3] \sigma_{33}^3
\]

\[
- (1/9) (b_1 \sigma_{22} + b_2 \sigma_{33}) \sigma_{11}^2 - (1/9) (b_3 \sigma_{33} + b_4 \sigma_{11}) \sigma_{22}^2
\]

\[
- (1/9) [(b_1 - b_2 + b_4) \sigma_{11} + (b_1 - b_3 + b_4) \sigma_{22}] \sigma_{33}^2
\]

\[
+ (2/9) (b_1 + b_4) \sigma_{12} \sigma_{22} \sigma_{33}
\]

\[
- (\sigma_{13}^2/3) [2 b_9 \sigma_{22} - b_8 \sigma_{33} - (2 b_9 - b_8) \sigma_{11}]
\]

\[
- (\sigma_{12}^2/3) [2 b_{10} \sigma_{33} - b_5 \sigma_{22} - (2 b_{10} - b_5) \sigma_{11}]
\]

\[
- (\sigma_{23}^2/3) [(b_6 - b_7) \sigma_{11} - b_6 \sigma_{22} - b_7 \sigma_{33}] + 2 b_{11} \sigma_{12} \sigma_{23} \sigma_{13}
\]

Anisotropy parameters identified with DD3MAT code
Material mechanical behavior – AA5352

- Set 1 – original experimental data fit
- Set 2 – lower $r$-value at $90^\circ$
- Set 3 – $r$-values “best fit”
Blank discretization

- 8-node solid hexahedral finite elements;
- Total of 17844 finite elements.
Tools discretization - Drawing

Simulations performed with DD3IMP
Numerical model

Tools discretization - Redrawing

Simulations performed with DD3IMP
Tools discretization - Expansion

Simulations performed with DD3IMP
Earing profile – after redraw operation

- Pinching effect for $0^\circ$ with rolling direction
Thickness distribution – after redraw operation

- Set 1 lower thickness for 0° due to higher r-value (45mm cup height)
Fracture location – during expansion

- Strain path does not cross given FLC

Considering given FLC
Fracture location – during expansion

Angle of fracture
- Experimental = 84°
- Set 1 ≈ 83.05°

Considering thinning

Thinning area

Higher thickness reduction due to higher $r$-value

Results
Fracture location – during expansion

Angle of fracture
- Experimental = 84°
- Set 2 ≈ 82.75°
Fracture location – during expansion

Angle of fracture
- Experimental = 84°
- Set 3 ≈ 66.55°

Considering thinning

Thinning area
The accurate prediction of the material’s mechanical behaviour is crucial for the evaluation of the material flow;

... which is dependent on the yield criterion flexibility;

Slight variations of the r-values lead to a considerable difference of both earing and thickness predictions;

The failure location is influenced by the modelling of the material’s mechanical behavior;

Reliable experimental data is mandatory for the prediction of stamping defects.
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